



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,162	12/01/2003	Aharon Shapira	25853	9375
7590	02/19/2008		EXAMINER	
Jon Eastman 625 N. Rexford Drive Beverly Hills, CA 90210			LEVITAN, DMITRY	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2616		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		02/19/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/724,162	SHAPIRA, AHARON	
	Examiner Dmitry Levitan	Art Unit 2616	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 January 2008.
2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-5 and 8-14 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____, is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 8-14 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 January 2008 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other: ____.

Amendment, filed 1/11/08, has been entered. Claims 1-5 and 8-14 remain pending.

Drawings

The drawings were received on 1/11/08. These drawings are approved.

Specification

In light of Applicant's Amendment the objection to the specification has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-5 and 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification does not provide sufficient details to enable a skilled in the art to make and use the invention because it does not adequately describe the following:

Regarding claims 1, 10 and 14, how to transmit specific power from BTS to each of the active subscribers.

As CDMA system is known for transmitting one spread spectrum signal with one value of power to all the subscribers. Power regulation of a forward channel in CDMA is known, but the regulations are directed to one power level at a time, which are common for all active

subscribers. Claims 1, 10 and 14 limitations, directed to delivering "specific"/individual power levels to each of the active subscribers, are not supported by the disclosure as filed.

The specification does not provide enough details about the structure and operation of the elements associated with the above identified claimed features to enable one skilled in the art to make and use the invention without undue experimentation.

2. Claims 2-5, 8, 9 and 11-13 are rejected as the claims depending on the rejected claims.
3. Claims 1-5 and 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention:

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the specific power" on line 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 1 and 10 recite the limitation "the total power" on line 19. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims. Claim 14 has the same problem on line 20.

Claims 1 and 10 recite the limitation "the sum" on line 20. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims. Claim 14 has the same problem on line 21.

Claims 10 and 14 limitations, directed to "including a processor" are unclear, because it is not understood if an apparatus or a power estimator includes a processor.

Claim 1, 10 and 14 limitations, directed to "calculating using said measured amounts an estimated specific power...." are unclear, because the goal of the calculation step and the mathematical process, required to achieve the goal are not understood.

4. Claims 2-5, 8, 9 and 11-13 are rejected as the claims depending on the rejected claims.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 1/11/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On pages 11 and 12 of the Response, Applicant argues that power level transmitted from the base station to the subscribers are not common for all subscribers in a cell.

Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Applicant's arguments are directed to the received power levels at the subscribers, which are varied due to the distance between the base station or the subscriber. The enablement rejection is directed to the transmit level at the base station, which is the same for all subscribers.

Examiner understands that individual estimation of transmit power can be used, but the power level of CDMA signal transmitted from the base station cannot be customized for different subscribers in the same cell.

Examiner requests Applicant to submit evidence to support his position on power transmission in CDMA systems.

Conclusion

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dmitry Levitan whose telephone number is (571) 272-3093. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached on (571) 272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:
10/724,162
Art Unit: 2616

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Dmitry Levitan
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2616

DMITRY LEVITAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER